arXiv:1105.2182v1 [math.CA] 11 May 2011

The dual eigenvalue problems for p-Laplacian

Y.H. Cheng[1], Wei-Cheng Lian[2] and Wei-Chuan Wang[3]

January 20, 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we find the minimizer of the eigenvalue gap for the single-well potential problem and the eigenvalue ratio for the single-barrier density problem and symmetric single-well (single-barrier)density problem for p-Laplacian. This extends the results of the classical Sturm-Liouville problem.

AMS Subject Classification (2000) : 34A55, 34B24.

p-Laplacian; eigenvalue gap; eigenvalue ratio

[1] Department of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C. Email: jengyh@math.nsysu.edu.tw

[2] Department of Information Management, National Kaohsiung Marine Univeristy, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, R.O.C. Email: wclian@mail.nkmu.edu.tw

[3] Department of Applied Mathematics, National Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 804, R.O.C. Email: wangwc@math.nsysu.edu.tw

1 Introduction

For $q,\ \rho \in L^1,\ \rho > 0$ a.e., and $p > 1$, consider the eigenvalue problem for p-Laplacian

$$(y'^{(p-1)})' = -(p-1)(\lambda\rho(x) - q(x))y^{(p-1)}\ , \tag{1}$$

with the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$y(0) = y(\hat{\pi}) = 0\ . \tag{2}$$

For $p = 2$, (1) is reduced to Schrödinger equation $y'' = -(\lambda - q(x))y$ when $\rho \equiv 1$, while (1) is reduced to the string equation $y'' = -\lambda\rho(x)y$ when $q \equiv 0$.

Denote by $\sin_p(x)$ the solution of

$$\begin{cases} (y'^{(p-1)})' = -(p-1)y^{(p-1)}\ , \\ y(0) = 0\ ,\ y'(0) = 1\ . \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Then we have

$$|\sin_p(x)|^p + |\sin_p'(x)|^p = 1\ . \tag{4}$$

Here, $\sin_p(x)$ is called a general sine function. In [7], Elbert discussed the analogies between $\sin_p(x)$ and $\sin x$. For example, he showed that $w = w(x) = \sin_p(x)$ is the inverse function of the below integral

$$x = \int_0^w \frac{dt}{(1-t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\ ,\ \text{for } 0 \le w \le 1\ ,$$

and $\sin_p(x) = 1$ at $x = \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \equiv \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} = \frac{\pi}{p\sin(\pi/p)}$. Furthermore, defining

$$\sin_p(x) = \begin{cases} \sin_p(\hat{\pi} - x)\ , & \text{if } \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \le x \le \hat{\pi}\ , \\ -\sin_p(x - \hat{\pi})\ , & \text{if } \hat{\pi} \le x \le 2\hat{\pi}\ , \\ \sin_p(x - 2n\hat{\pi})\ , & \text{for } n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots\ , \end{cases}$$

he obtained a sine-like function. Note that $\hat{\pi}$ is the first zero of $\sin_p(x)$.

Recently, there have been a number of studies on the optimal estimates of eigenvalues, eigenvalue gaps and eigenvalue ratios for eigenvalue problem $-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda\rho(x)y$ [13, 1, 14, 6, 9, 11]. It was proved that, for Schrödinger equation $-y'' + q(x)y = \lambda y$, the constant potential function gives the minimum Dirichlet eigenvalue gaps $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ when the potential function q is assumed to be convex [14], symmetric single-well [2] or single-well [9], while under some additional conditions, the symmetric 1-step function is the potential function in $E[h, H, M]$ giving the minimal Dirichlet eigenvalue gap [6]. On the other hand, it is known that, for the string equation $-y'' = \lambda\rho(x)y$, the constant density function gives the minimum Dirichlet eigenvalue ratio $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}$ when the density function ρ is assumed to be concave, symmetric single-barrier [10] or single-barrier [9], while the symmetric 1-step function is the density in $E[h, H, M]$ giving the minimum Dirichlet eigenvalue ratio [13], see also [15]. These results are called "duality results". In particular, Ashbaugh and Benguria in 1989 found the optimal bound of the eigenvalue ratio λ_n/λ_1 for Schrödinger equation with nonnegative potentials [3], and this result was extended by Huang and Law for general Sturm-Liouville problems [12]. It shall be mentioned that Huang in 2007 discuss the eigenvalue gap for vibrating string with symmetric single-well densities [11]. Here, the function V is called a single-well function with the transition point a if $V(x)$ is decreasing in $[0, a]$ and increasing in $[a, \pi]$ while V is called a single-barrier function if $-V$ is a single-well function.

In this paper, we will generalize the results of the Dirichlet eigenvalue gap for Schrödinger equation and eigenvalue ratio for string equation in [10, 9] to p-Laplacian. We obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the eigenvalue problem for p-Laplacian (1)-(2) with* $\rho \equiv 1$.

If q *is single-well with a transition point at* $\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$, *then*

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \geq 2^p - 1\ .$$

The equality holds if and only if q *is constant. Furthermore, if the transition point* $a \neq \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$, *then there is a single-well potential such that* $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 < 2^p - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Consider the eigenvalue problem for p-Laplacian (1)-(2) with* $q \equiv 0$.

(a) If ρ *is single-barrier density with a transition point at* $\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$, *then*

$$\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \geq 2^p\ .$$

The equality holds if and only if ρ *is constant. Furthermore, if the transition point* $a \neq \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$*, then there is a single-barrier density such that* $\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} < 2^p$*.*

(b) If ρ *is a symmetric single-well density with a transition point at* $\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$*, then*

$$\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \leq 2^p.$$

The equality holds if and only if $\rho(x)$ *is a constant a.e..*

2 Preliminaries

As in Binding and Drabek [4], the eigenvalues λ_k, form a strictly increasing sequence as

$$\lambda_1[\rho,\ q] < \lambda_2[\rho,\ q] < \lambda_3[\rho,\ q] < \cdots , \tag{5}$$

and accumulating at ∞. The n-th eigenfunction y_n has $n-1$ zeros in $(0, \hat{\pi})$.

Let $y_n(x) = y(x, \lambda_n)$ be the n-th normalized eigenfunction of (1)-(2) satisfying $\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \rho(x)|y(x)|^p dx = 1$. We may assume $y_n(x) > 0$ initially and let x_0 be the zero of $y_2(x)$. In order to compare the behaviors of y_1 and y_2, we introduce a Prüfer-type substitution. Let

$$y_n(x) = r(x)\sin_p(\phi_n(x)) \ , \quad y_n'(x) = r(x)\sin_p'(\phi_n(x)) \ .$$

Denote by $\tan_p(x) = \frac{\sin_p(x)}{\sin_p'(x)}$ and $\cot_p(x) = \frac{\sin_p'(x)}{\sin_p(x)}$ the generalized tangent and cotangent functions respectively. Since

$$\cot_p'(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\frac{\sin_p'(x)}{\sin_p(x)} = -\left|\frac{\sin_p(x)}{\sin_p'(x)}\right|^{p-2} - |\cot_p(x)|^2 = -(1 + |\tan_p(x)|^p)|\cot_p(x)|^2 \ ,$$

the function $\cot_p(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, \hat{\pi})$. This implies

$$(\frac{y_2}{y_1})' = \frac{y_1 y_2' - y_2 y_1'}{y_1^2} = \frac{y_1 y_2}{y_1^2}[\frac{y_2'}{y_2} - \frac{y_1'}{y_1}] = \frac{y_2}{y_1}[\cot_p(\phi_2(x)) - \cot_p(\phi_1(x))] \ .$$

After the Prüfer substitution, we obtain

$$\phi_n' = |\sin_p'(\phi_n)|^p + (\lambda_n \rho(x) - q(x))|\sin_p(\phi_n)|^p.$$

By Comparison theorem [5], we have $\phi_2(x) > \phi_1(x)$ on $(0, x_0)$ and, hence, $(\frac{y_2}{y_1})' < 0$ on $(0, x_0)$. This implies $\frac{y_2}{y_1}$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, x_0)$. Furthermore, y_1 and y_2 has at most one intersection point in $(0, x_0)$. Similarly, y_1 and $-y_2$ has at most one intersection point in $(x_0, \hat{\pi})$. Hence we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Consider the eigenvalue problem for p-Laplacian (1)-(2). Then* $|y_1(x)| = |y_2(x)|$ *have at most two intersection points on* $(0, \hat{\pi})$.

Let $\rho(x,t)$ and $q(x,t)$ be one-parameter family of piecewise continuous functions such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}q$ exist. Denote by $\{(\lambda_n(t), y_n(x,t))\}_{n\geq 1}$ the n-th normalized eigenpair. The following lemma is an extension for the case $p = 2$ in [13] (see also [14, 10]). The proof will be given in appendix.

Lemma 2.2.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\lambda_n(t) = \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} q(x,t)|y_n(x,t)|^p dx - \lambda_n \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(x,t)|y_n(x,t)|^p dx \ . \tag{6}$$

Following from Lemma 2.2, we have

1. If $\rho \equiv 1$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\lambda_n(t) - \lambda_m(t)\right) = \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t}(x,t)\left(|y_n(x,t)|^p - |y_m(x,t)|^p\right) dx \ ;$$

2. If $q \equiv 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\lambda_n(t)}{\lambda_m(t)}\right) = \frac{\lambda_n(t)}{\lambda_m(t)} \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(x,t)\left(|y_m(x,t)|^p - |y_n(x,t)|^p\right) dx \ .$$

Next, Lemma 2.3 will be used to proof the eigenvalue gap (Theorem 1.1) while Lemma 2.4 will be used to proof the eigenvalue ratio (Theorem 1.2).

Lemma 2.3. *Denote* $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}} \cot_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$. *Let* t_n *be the n-th solution of* $f(t) = -f(t-m)$ *where* $m > 0$. *Then*

$$t_2 - t_1 \geq 2^p - 1 \ .$$

Proof. Note that, according graph analysis, $t_1 \in (1, \min\{1+m, 2^p\})$ for $m > 0$. For $m \geq 3^p - 1$, we have $t_2 \geq 3^p$ and hence

$$t_2 - t_1 \geq 3^p - 2^p > 2^p - 1 \ .$$

So we only need to consider $0 < m < 3^p - 1$. In this case, $t_2 \in (2^p, \min\{2^p + m, 3^p\})$.

1. Assume $t \geq 0$. By the definition, we have $f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}} \cot_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \frac{1}{p} t^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \cot_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 + |\tan_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^p) \cot_p^2(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{p} t^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{pt} f(t) - \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2pt} (1 + |\tan_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^p) |f(t)|^2 \\ &= \frac{t^{\frac{1-p}{p}}}{2p |\sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^2} (2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi} |\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^{2-p}) \ . \end{aligned}$$

If $\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) > 0$, in this case $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (0,1)$ and $(4n-1, 4n+1)$ for $n \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi} |\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^{2-p} &= \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) (2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi} |\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^{1-p}) \ , \\ &\leq \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) (2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi}) \ , \\ &\equiv \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) g(t) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(0) = 0, g((4n-1)^p)$ and $g'(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \hat{\pi}}{p} (\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - 1) < 0$ for $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (0,1)$ and $(4n-1, 4n+1), n \geq 1$, we have $g(t) < 0$ for $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (0,1)$ and $(4n-1, 4n+1), n \geq 1$ and hence $f'(t) < 0$ for $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (0,1)$ and $(4n-1, 4n+1), n \geq 1$,.

Similarly, if $\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) < 0$, in this case $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (4n-3, 4n-1)$ for $n \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi} |\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^{2-p} &= \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) (2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) + t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi} |\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^{1-p}) \ , \\ &\leq \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) (2 \sin_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) + t^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{\pi}) \ , \\ &\equiv \sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) h(t) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(0) = 0, h((4n-3)^p) > 0$ and $h'(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \hat{\pi}}{p} (\sin_p'(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) + 1) > 0$, we have $h(t) > 0$ for $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (4n-3, 4n-1), n \geq 1$ and hence $f'(t) < 0$ for $t^{\frac{1}{p}} \in (4n-3, 4n-1), n \geq 1$.

2. Assume $t < 0$. Define by $w = w(x) = \sinh_p(x)$ the inverse function of the integral $x = \int_0^w \frac{dt}{(1+t^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$. We call $\sinh_p(x)$ the generalized hyperbolic sine function. It is easy to show that $\sinh_p(x) = (-1)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \sin_p((-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} x)$ and $\sinh'_p(x) = \sin'_p((-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} x)$ where $(-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} = e^{\pi i/p}$. Furthermore,

$$\sinh'^p_p(x) - \sinh^p_p(x) = 1 \ , \tag{7}$$

and then $\sinh''_p(x) = \frac{\sinh^{p-1}_p(x)}{\sinh'^{p-2}_p(x)}$.

Let $\hat{t} = -t$. Since

$$f(t) = t^{\frac{1}{p}} \cot_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\sin'_p((-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sin_p((-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} = \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\sinh'_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sinh_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \ ,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(t) &= -\frac{1}{p} \hat{t}^{\frac{1-p}{p}} \frac{\sinh'_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sinh_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} + \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} (-\frac{1}{p} \hat{t}^{\frac{1-p}{p}}) \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \frac{\sinh''_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sinh_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - \sinh'^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sinh^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \ , \\
&= \frac{-\frac{1}{p} \hat{t}^{\frac{1-p}{p}}}{\sinh^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \left[\sinh'_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sinh_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) + \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\frac{\sinh^p_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sinh'^{p-2}_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} - \sinh'^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \right) \right] \ , \\
&= \frac{-\frac{1}{p} \hat{t}^{\frac{1-p}{p}}}{\sinh^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \left[\sinh'_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \sinh_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) - \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} \hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \sinh'^{2-p}_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \right] \\
&\equiv \frac{-\frac{1}{p} \hat{t}^{\frac{1-p}{p}}}{\sinh^2_p(\hat{t}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \tilde{g}(t) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

Using similar argument as step 1, we can show $\tilde{g}(t) > 0$ and hence $f'(t) < 0$ for all $t < 0$.

3. If $f(t) = -f(t-m)$, then $f'(t) \frac{dt}{dm} = -f'(t-m)(\frac{dt}{dm} - 1)$ and

$$\frac{dt}{dm} = \frac{f'(t-m)}{f'(t) + f'(t-m)} > 0 \ .$$

If we can show $f'(t_2 - m) < f'(t_2)$ and $f'(t_1 - m) > f'(t_1)$, then

$$\frac{dt_1}{dm} = \frac{f'(t_1 - m)}{f'(t_1) + f'(t_1 - m)} < \frac{f'(t_2 - m)}{f'(t_2) + f'(t_2 - m)} = \frac{dt_2}{dm} \ .$$

Hence $\frac{d}{dm}(t_2 - t_1)(m) > 0$ for all $m > 0$. Furthermore

$$(t_2 - t_1)(m) > \lim_{m \to 0^+} (t_2 - t_1)(m) = 2^p - 1 \ .$$

4. First, note that $t_2 > m$ and $f(t_2) > 0$ for $m < 3^p - 1$. Since $f(t_2) = -f(t_2 - m)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t) &= \frac{1}{pt}(f(t) - \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}(1 + |\tan_p(t^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})|^p)|f(t)|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{pt}f(t) - \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2pt}(1 + \frac{t}{|f(t)|^p})|f(t)|^2 \ , \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t_2 - m) - f'(t_2) &= -\frac{f(t_2)}{p}(\frac{1}{t_2 - m} + \frac{1}{t_2}) + \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_2)|^2}{2p}(\frac{1}{t_2}(1 + \frac{t_2}{|f(t_2)|^p}) - \frac{1}{t_2 - m}(1 + \frac{t_2 - m}{|f(t_2)|^p})) \\ &= -\frac{(2t_2 - m)f(t_2)}{pt_2(t_2 - m)} - \frac{m\hat{\pi}|f(t_2)|^2}{2pt_2(t_2 - m)} \\ &< 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

5. Note $f(t_1) < 0$ for $m > 0$, and $t_1 - m > 1 - m > 0$ if $m < 1$. Since

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{pt}f(t) - \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2pt}(1 + \frac{t}{|f(t)|^p})|f(t)|^2 \ ,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t_1) &= \frac{f(t_1)}{pt_1} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^2}{2pt_1} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} \ , \\ f'(t_1 - m) &= -\frac{f(t_1)}{p(t_1 - m)} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^2}{2p(t_1 - m)} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} \ , \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} f'(t_1) + \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} &= \frac{f(t_1)}{pt_1} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^2}{2pt_1} \ < \ 0 \ , \\ f'(t_1 - m) + \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} &= -\frac{f(t_1)}{p(t_1 - m)} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^2}{2p(t_1 - m)} \ = \ -\frac{f(t_1)}{p(t_1 - m)}(1 + \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}f(t_1)). \qquad (8) \end{aligned}$$

Since LHS in (8) is finite for $0 < m < 3^p - 1$, t_1 is increasing in m, and $f(t)$ is decreasing in t, there exists unique m^* such that

$$t_1(m^*) - m^* = 0, \ 1 + \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}f(t_1(m^*)) = 0 \ .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &t_1(m) - m > 0 \ , \quad 1 + \tfrac{\hat{\pi}}{2}f(t_1(m)) > 0 \quad \text{on } (0, m^*) \ , \\ &t_1(m) - m < 0 \ , \quad 1 + \tfrac{\hat{\pi}}{2}f(t_1(m)) < 0 \quad \text{on } (m^*, 3^p - 1) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$f'(t_1 - m) + \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} = -\frac{f(t_1)}{p(t_1 - m)} - \frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^2}{2p(t_1 - m)} > 0.$$

This implies

$$f'(t_1 - m) > -\frac{\hat{\pi}|f(t_1)|^{2-p}}{2p} > f'(t_1) \ .$$

□

Lemma 2.4. *Let* s_1 *and* s_2 *be the first two zeros of* $m \tan_p s = -\tan_p(sm)$ *for* $m > 1$*. Then*

$$\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > 2 \ . \tag{9}$$

Proof. To do this, we claim that

$$\frac{d}{dm}\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} = \frac{s_2'(m)s_1(m) - s_2(m)s_1'(m)}{s_1^2(m)} > 0 \ .$$

We first observe that, if $m \tan_p s = -\tan_p(sm)$, then

$$\tan_p s + m\,(1 + |\tan_p s|^p)\,\frac{ds}{dm} = -\,(1 + |\tan_p(sm)|^p)\left(s + m\frac{ds}{dm}\right) \ ,$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{ds}{dm} = -\frac{\tan_p s + s(1 + |\tan_p(sm)|^p)}{m(1 + |\tan_p s|^p + 1 + |\tan_p(sm)|^p)} \ .$$

Hence

$$s_2'(m)s_1(m) - s_2(m)s_1'(m) = \frac{F(m, s_1, s_2)}{m\tilde{F}(s_1)\tilde{F}(s_2)} \ ,$$

where

$$\tilde{F}(s) = 1 + |\tan_p s|^p + 1 + |\tan_p(sm)|^p \ ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F(m, s_1, s_2) &= s_2(\tan_p s_1 + s_1(1 + |\tan_p(s_1 m)|^p))(1 + |\tan_p s_2|^p + 1 + |\tan_p(s_2 m)|^p) \\
&\quad - s_1(\tan_p s_2 + s_2(1 + |\tan_p(s_2 m)|^p))(1 + |\tan_p s_1|^p + 1 + |\tan_p(s_1 m)|^p) \\
&= (\tan_p s_1 - s_1(1 + |\tan_p s_1|^p))(\tan_p s_2 + s_2(1 + |\tan_p(s_2 m)|^p)) \\
&\quad - (\tan_p s_2 - s_2(1 + |\tan_p s_2|^p))(\tan_p s_1 + s_1(1 + |\tan_p(s_1 m)|^p)) \\
&= (\tan_p s_1 - s_1(1 + |\tan_p s_1|^p))(\tan_p s_2 + s_2(1 + m^p|\tan_p s_2|^p)) \\
&\quad - (\tan_p s_2 - s_2(1 + |\tan_p s_2|^p))(\tan_p s_1 + s_1(1 + m^p|\tan_p s_1|^p)) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is because $m\tan_p s_i = -\tan_p(s_i m), i = 1, 2$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(s) &= \tan_p s - s(1+|\tan_p s|^p) \ , \\ g_2(s) &= \tan_p s + s(1+m^p|\tan_p s|^p) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Note that $g_2(s) > 0$ for $s \in (0, \hat{\pi})$. Denote by $G(s) = \frac{g_1(s)}{g_2(s)}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{s\to 0} G(s) = 0 \ , \quad \lim_{s\to\hat{\pi}} G(s) = -1 \ , \\ &\lim_{s\to\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}^+} G(s) = \lim_{s\to\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}^-} G(s) = -\frac{1}{m^p} \ , \end{aligned}$$

the function $G(s)$ is well-defined on $[0, \hat{\pi}]$.

1. For $m > 3$, we have $s_1, s_2 \in (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$. If we can show $G(s)$ is decreasing on $(0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$, then $\frac{g_1(s_1)}{g_2(s_1)} > \frac{g_1(s_2)}{g_2(s_2)}$ and hence

$$\frac{d}{dm}\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > 0 \ .$$

Since, when $m \to 3^+$, $t_2(m) \to \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}^-$ and $t_1 \in (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{6}, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{4})$, we have, for $m > 3$,

$$\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > \lim_{m\to 3^+}\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > \frac{\hat{\pi}/2}{\hat{\pi}/4} = 2 \ .$$

Now, for $s \in (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$, we have $\tan_p s > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & g_1'(s)g_2(s) - g_1(s)g_2'(s) \\ & = m^p|\tan_p s|^{p-1}\left[s(1+|\tan_p s|^p)(ps + (1-p)\tan_p s) - |\tan_p s|^2\right] \\ & \quad +(1+|\tan_p s|^p)\left[s(2+(1-p)|\tan_p s|^p - ps|\tan_p s|^{p-1}) - \tan_p s\right] - \tan_p s \\ & \equiv m^p|\tan_p s|^{p-1}G_1(s) + G_2(s) \ . \end{aligned}$$

(1) Since $G_2(0) = 0$ and, for $s \in (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_2'(s) &= p(1+|\tan_p s|^p)|\tan_p s|^{p-2}[|\tan_p s|^p s((1-p)\tan_p s - ps) \\ &\quad -p|\tan_p s|^2 + (1-p)s(1+|\tan_p s|^p)(\tan_p s + s)] \\ &< 0 \ , \end{aligned}$$

we have $G_2(s) < 0$ for $s \in (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$.

(2) For $s \in (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$, we have $G_1(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G_1'(s) &= (1 + |\tan_p s|^p)\left[(1+p)(s - \tan_p s) + s|\tan_p s|^{p-1}(p^2 s + (1-p^2)\tan_p s)\right] \\ &\equiv (1 + |\tan_p s|^p)\hat{G}_1(s)\ , \end{aligned}$$

and $\hat{G}_1(0) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_1'(s) &= |\tan_p s|^{p-2}[-p(1+p)|\tan_p s|^2 + ps\tan_p s(1+2p-p^2) \\ &\quad +(p-1)s|\tan_p s|^p(p^2 s - p(1+p)\tan_p s) + (p-1)p^2 s^2] \\ &\leq |\tan_p s|^{p-2}[-p(1+p)s\tan_p s + ps\tan_p s(1+2p-p^2) \\ &\quad +(p-1)s|\tan_p s|^p(p^2 s - p(1+p)\tan_p s) + (p-1)p^2 s^2] \\ &= p(p-1)s|\tan_p s|^{p-2}\left[p(s - \tan_p s) + p|\tan_p s|^p(s - \tan_p s) - |\tan_p s|^{p+1}\right] \\ &\leq 0\ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $G_1(s) < 0$ on $(0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$.

This implies $g_1'(s)g_2(s) - g_1(s)g_2'(s) < 0$ on $(0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$. Furthermore,

$$G'(s) = \frac{g_1'(s)g_2(s) - g_1(s)g_2'(s)}{g_1^2(s)} < 0\ .$$

That is $G(s)$ is decreasing on $(0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})$.

2. For $m < 3$, we have $s_2 \in (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}, \hat{\pi})$, $\tan_p s_2 < 0$ and

$$\frac{g_1(s_2)}{g_2(s_2)} = \frac{\tan_p s_2 - s_2(1 + |\tan_p s_2|^p)}{\tan_p s_2 + s_2(1 + m^p|\tan_p s_2|^p)} < -\frac{1}{m^p}\ ,$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> m^p(\tan_p s_2 - s_2(1 + |\tan_p s_2|^p)) + tan_p s_2 + s_2(1 + m^p|\tan_p s_2|^p) \\ &= (m^p + 1)\tan_p s_2 + (1 - m^p)s_2\ , \end{aligned}$$

is a tautology. Hence

$$\frac{g_1(s_2)}{g_2(s_2)} < -\frac{1}{m^p} = \lim_{s \to \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}^-} G(s) < \frac{g_1(s_1)}{g_2(s_1)}\ ,$$

or equivalently $F(m, s_1, s_2) > 0$. This implies $\frac{d}{dm}\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > 0$ for $m < 3$. Furthermore

$$\frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} > \lim_{m\to 1^+} \frac{s_2(m)}{s_1(m)} = \frac{\hat{\pi}}{\hat{\pi}/2} = 2\ .$$

□

3 Proof of Main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $M > 0$, denote

$$A_M = \{0 \le q(x) \le M : q \text{ is single-well with a transition point at } \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}\}.$$

Let $E[q] = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)[q]$. Then $E[q]$ is bounded on A_M and, hence, $E[q]$ attains its minimum at some q_0 in A_M. For $q(x) \in A_M$, define by $q(x,t) = tq(x) + (1-t)q_0(x)$ the one-parameter family of potentials , where $0 < t < 1$.

By Lemma 2.1, there exist $0 \le x_- < x_0 < x_+ \le \hat{\pi}$, such that $y_2(x_0, 0) = 0$ and

$$|y_2(x,0)|^p - |y_1(x,0)|^p \begin{cases} > 0 \text{ on } (0, x_-) \cup (x_+, \hat{\pi})\ , \\ < 0 \text{ on } (x_-, x_+)\ . \end{cases}$$

1. Assume $x_- \le \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} < x_+$. Let

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} q_0(x_-) \text{ on } (0, \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})\ , \\ q_0(x_+) \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}, \hat{\pi})\ . \end{cases}$$

   By the optimality of q_0, we have, using Lemma 2.2,

$$0 \le \frac{d}{dt}(\lambda_2(t) - \lambda_1(t)) = \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} (q(x) - q_0(x))(|y_2(x,0)|^p - |y_1(x,0)|^p)dx \le 0\ .$$

   This implies $q_0 = q(x)$.

2. Assume $\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} < x_-$ (the case for $x_+ < \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$ is similar). Let

$$q(x) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ on } (0, x_-)\ , \\ M \text{ on } (x_-, \hat{\pi})\ . \end{cases}$$

Since $y_n(x,0)$ is normalized, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{x_-}(|y_2(x,0)|^p-|y_1(x,0)|^p)dx &> 0\ ,\\ \int_{x_-}^{\hat{\pi}}(|y_2(x,0)|^p-|y_1(x,0)|^p)dx &< 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

By the optimality of q_0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq \frac{d}{dt}(\lambda_2(t)-\lambda_1(t))=\int_0^{\hat{\pi}}(q(x)-q_0(x))(|y_2(x,0)|^p-|y_1(x,0)|^p)dx\\ &= -q_0(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})\int_0^{x_-}(|y_2(x,0)|^p-|y_1(x,0)|^p)dx+(M-q_0(x_+))\int_{x_-}^{\hat{\pi}}(|y_2(x,0)|^p-|y_1(x,0)|^p)dx\\ &\leq 0\ .\end{aligned}$$

The only possibility is $q_0=q$. But in this case, the second eigenfunction can be expressed by

$$y_2(x)=\begin{cases} c\sin_p(\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{p}}x) \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})\ ,\\ d\sin_p((\lambda_2-M)^{\frac{1}{p}}(\hat{\pi}-x)) \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi})\ .\end{cases}$$

Since $\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}<x_-<x_0<x_+$, we have $\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}<\hat{\pi}$ and $(\lambda_2-M)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}>\hat{\pi}$. Furthermore,

$$(\lambda_2-M)^{\frac{1}{p}}>\lambda_2^{\frac{1}{p}}\ .$$

This is impossible and hence this case is refused.

By above discussion, we may assume

$$q_0(x)=\begin{cases} m \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})\ ,\\ 0 \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi})\ .\end{cases}$$

In this case, the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ can be expressed as

$$y(x)=\begin{cases} c\sin_p(\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}x) \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})\ ,\\ d\sin_p((\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}(\hat{\pi}-x)) \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi})\ .\end{cases}$$

Here, λ is an eigenvalue if λ is a solution of

$$\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p'(\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sin_p(\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}=-\frac{(\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p'((\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sin_p((\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}\ ,$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\cot_p(\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) = -(\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}\cot_p((\lambda-m)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \ .$$

By Lemma 2.3, we obtain the eigenvalue gap $\lambda_2-\lambda_1 \ge 2^p-1$ and the equality holds if and only if q is constant.

Finally, we assume

$$q(x,t) = \begin{cases} t \text{ on } (0,a) \ , \\ 0 \text{ on } (a,\hat{\pi}) \ , \end{cases}$$

for $t \ge 0$. Then $y_1(x,0) = (\frac{p}{\hat{\pi}})^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p x$, $y_2(x,0) = (\frac{p}{\hat{\pi}})^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p(2x)$ and $\int_0^{\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}}(|y_2(x,0)|^p - |y_1(x,0)|^p)dx = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(0) = \int_0^a(|y_2(x,0)|^p - |y_1(x,0)|^p)dx < 0 \ ,$$

for $0 < a-\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} << 1$. Furthermore, for small $t>0$, we have $(\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(t) < (\lambda_2-\lambda_1)(0) = 2^p-1$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. **Part (a).** For $M>1$, denote

$$A_M = \{\frac{1}{M} \le \rho(x) \le M : \rho \text{ is single-barrier with a transition point at } \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}\}.$$

Let $R[q] = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}[q]$. Then $R[q]$ is bounded on A_M and, hence, $R[q]$ attains its minimum at some ρ_0 in A_M. For $\rho(x) \in A_M$, define $\rho(x,t) = t\rho(x) + (1-t)\rho_0(x)$ be the one-parameter family of densities, where $0<t<1$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, it can be showed that the optimal ρ_0 must have the form

$$\rho_0 = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \ , \\ L \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi}) \ , \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \rho_0 = \begin{cases} L \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \ , \\ 1 \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi}) \ , \end{cases}$$

for some $L \ge 1$. W.L.O.G., we only discuss the first case. In this case, the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue μ can be expressed as

$$y(x) = \begin{cases} c\sin_p(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}x) \text{ on } (0,\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \ , \\ d\sin_p((\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}}(\hat{\pi}-x)) \text{ on } (\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2},\hat{\pi}) \ . \end{cases}$$

Here, μ is an eigenvalue if μ is a solution of

$$\frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p'(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sin_p(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} = -\frac{(\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}}\sin_p'((\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})}{\sin_p((\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2})} \ ,$$

or equivalently

$$\mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \cot_p(\mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) = -(\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cot_p((\mu L)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}) \ .$$

Let $m = L^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $s = \mu^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}$. Then we obtain

$$m \tan_p s = - \tan_p(sm) \ .$$

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain the eigenvalue ratio $\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \geq 2^p$ and the equality holds if and only if ρ is constant.

Finally, we assume

$$\rho(x,t) = \begin{cases} t \text{ on } (0,a) \ , \\ 1 \text{ on } (a, \hat{\pi}) \ , \end{cases}$$

for $t \geq 1$. Then $y_1(x,1) = (\frac{p}{\hat{\pi}})^{\frac{1}{p}} \sin_p x$, $y_2(x,1) = (\frac{p}{\hat{\pi}})^{\frac{1}{p}} \sin_p(2x)$ and $\int_0^{\frac{\hat{\pi}}{2}} (|y_1(x,1)|^p - |y_2(x,1)|^p)dx = 0$. Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1})(1) = \frac{\mu_2(1)}{\mu_1(1)} \int_0^a (|y_1(x,1)|^p - |y_2(x,1)|^p)dx < 0 \ ,$$

for $0 < \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} - a << 1$. Furthermore, for small $t > 0$, we have $(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1})(t) < (\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1})(1) = 2^p$.

Part (b). We give an alternative proof with respect to part (a). Consider the one-parameter family of densities $\rho(x,t) = t\rho(x) + (1-t)\epsilon$, where $0 < t < 1$ and ϵ is a positive constant. Denote by $\{\mu_n(t),\ y_n(x,t)\}$ the n-th normalized eigenpair corresponding to the density $\rho(x,t)$. By Lemma 2.1, there are points $x_\pm(t)$ with

$$0 < x_-(t) < \frac{\hat{\pi}}{2} < x_+(t) < \hat{\pi}, \qquad x_-(t) + x_+(t) = \hat{\pi}$$

such that

$$\begin{cases} |y_2(x,t)|^p > |y_1(x,t)|^p \quad \text{on} \ \ (0, x_-(t)) \cup (x_+(t), \hat{\pi}), \\ |y_2(x,t)|^p < |y_1(x,t)|^p \quad \text{on} \ \ (x_-(t), x_+(t)). \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

Now, we claim that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\frac{\mu_2(t)}{\mu_1(t)}] \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\frac{\mu_2(t)}{\mu_1(t)}] = \frac{\mu_2(t)}{\mu_1(t)} \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} (\rho(x) - \epsilon)[|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx.$$

Since $\rho(x)$ is a symmetric single-well density, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \rho(x)[|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx &= \int_{(0,x_-(t))\cup(x_+(t),a)} \rho(x)[|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx \\ &+ \int_{x_-(t)}^{x_+(t)} \rho(x)[|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx \\ &\leq \rho(x_-(t)) \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} [|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx.\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} (\rho(x)-\epsilon)[|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx \leq [\rho(x_-(t)) - \epsilon] \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} [|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p]dx. \tag{11}$$

The normalization condition $\int_0^{\hat{\pi}}[t\rho(x)+(1-t)\epsilon]|y_n(x,t)|^p dx = 1$ gives

$$\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} (|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p)dx = \frac{t}{\epsilon}\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} [\rho(x)-\epsilon](|y_2(x,t)|^p - |y_1(x,t)|^p)dx. \tag{12}$$

So, by (11), we obtain

$$[\frac{\rho(x_-(t))t}{\epsilon} + (1-t)] \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} [\rho(x)-\epsilon](|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p)dx \leq 0.$$

Since $0 < t < 1$, this implies that

$$\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} [\rho(x)-\epsilon](|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p)dx \leq 0, \tag{13}$$

from which it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\frac{\mu_2(t)}{\mu_1(t)}] \leq 0 \quad for \quad 0 < t < 1.$$

Finally, by the continuity of eigenvalues, we obtain

$$\frac{\mu_2[\rho]}{\mu_1[\rho]} = \frac{\mu_2(1)}{\mu_1(1)} \leq \frac{\mu_2(0)}{\mu_1(0)} = \frac{\mu_2[\epsilon]}{\mu_1[\epsilon]} = 2^p.$$

The equality occurs only if $\frac{\mu_2(t)}{\mu_1(t)}$ is a constant. In this case, the equality holds in (13), and it follows from (12) that

$$\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} \rho(x)(|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p)dx = \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} (|y_1(x,t)|^p - |y_2(x,t)|^p)dx = 0.$$

This together with (11) implies that $\rho(x)$ is a constant a.e.. $\square$

Remark:

(i) In Theorem 1.1, if we replace 'single-well' by 'single-barrier', the method fails because the inequality in Lemma 2.3 is the same. Thus the case for 'single-barrier' potential is still unknown.

(ii) In Theorem 1.2(a), if the condition 'single-barrier' is replaced by 'single-well', our proof can not work because the inequality in Lemma 2.4 remains the same. Thus the case for 'single-well' densities of p-Laplacian is still open.

(iii) In Theorem 1.2(b), if the condition 'symmetric single-well' is replaced by 'symmetric single-barrier', then the equality is reversed.

4 Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2.2. In the following computation, we drop the suffix for convenience. Denote $\dot{y} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$. Differentiating (1) with respect to t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-2)y'(x,t)^{(p-3)}\dot{y}'(x,t)y''(x,t) + |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}''(x,t) \\ + & \left(\dot{\lambda}(t)\rho(x,t) + \lambda(t)\dot{\rho}(x,t) - \dot{q}(x,t)\right) y(x,t)^{(p-1)} \\ + & (p-1)(\lambda(t)\rho(x,t) - q(x))|y(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}(x,t) = 0 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying it by $y(x.t)$ and by (1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(-\dot{\lambda}(t)\rho(x,t) - \lambda(t)\dot{\rho}(x,t) + \dot{q}(x,t)\right)|y(x,t)|^p \\ & = \left((p-2)y'(x,t)^{(p-3)}y''(x,t)\dot{y}'(x,t) + |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}''(x,t)\right) y(x,t) - (y'(x,t)^{(p-1)})'\dot{y}(x,t) \ , \\ & = \left(|y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}'(x,t)\right)' y(x,t) - (y'(x,t)^{(p-1)})'\dot{y}(x,t) \ , \\ & \equiv I - II \ . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} I &= |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}'(x,t)]y(x,t)|_0^{\hat{\pi}} - \int_0^{\hat{\pi}} |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}'(x,t)y'(x,t)dx \ , \\ &= -\int_0^{\hat{\pi}} |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot{y}'(x,t)y'(x,t)dx \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\hat\pi} II &= y'(x,t)^{(p-1)}\dot y(x,t)|_0^{\hat\pi} - \int_0^{\hat\pi} |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot y'(x,t)]y'(x,t)dx\ , \\ &= -\int_0^{\hat\pi} |y'(x,t)|^{p-2}\dot y'(x,t)y'(x,t)dx\ ,\end{aligned}$$

after integrating (14) over $[0,\hat\pi]$ with respect to x, it follows from $\int_0^{\hat\pi}\rho|y|^p dx = 1$ that

$$\dot\lambda(t) = -\int_0^{\hat\pi}\lambda(t)\dot\rho(x,t)|y(x,t)|^p dx + \int_0^{\hat\pi}\dot q(x,t)|y(x,t)|^p dx\ .$$

Let $\lambda = \lambda_n$. The proof is complete.

□

References

[1] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. Svirsky, *Perodic potentials with minimal energy bands*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 69–77.

[2] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. Benguria, *Optimal lower bound for the gap between the first two eigenvalues of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with symmetric single-well potentials*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **105** (1989), no. 2, 419–424.

[3] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. D. Benguria, *Optimal bounds for ratios of eigenvalues of one-dimensional Schrodinger operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions and positive potentials*, Comm. Math. Phys. **124** (1989), no. 3, 403–415.

[4] P. Binding and P. Drábek, *Sturm-Liouville theory for the p-Laplacian,* Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, **40** (2003), 373-396.

[5] G. Birkhoff and G.C. Rota, *Ordinary Differential Equations*, 4th ed (1989) Wiley, New York.

[6] H. H. Chern and C. L. Shen, *On the maximum and minimum of some functionals for the eigenvalue problem of Sturm-Liouville type*, *J. Diff. Eqns.* **107** (1994), 68–79.

[7] Á. Elbert, *A half-linear second order differential equation*, Qualitative theory of differential equations , Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1979), pp. 153–180, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai **30**, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.

[8] W. Eberhard and A. Elbert, *On the eigenvalues of half-linear boundary value problems*, Math. Nachr. **213** (2000), 57-76.

[9] M. Horvath, *On the first two eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol.131, No.4 (2002), 1215-1224.

[10] M.J. Huang, *On the eigenvalue ratio for vibrating strings*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1999), no. 6, 1805–1813.

[11] M. J. Huang, *The eigenvalue gap for vibrating strings with symmetric densities*, Acta Math. Hungar. **117** (2007), no. 4, 341–348.

[12] Y. L. Huang and C. K. Law, *Eigenvalue ratios for the regular Sturm-Liouville system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 5, 1427–1436.

[13] J. B. Keller, *The minimum ratio of two eigenvalues*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **31** (1976), no.3, 485–491.

[14] R. Lavine, *The eigenvalue gap for one-dimensional convex potentials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), 815–821.

[15] T. Mahar and B. Willner, *An extremal eigenvalue problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **29** (1976), no.5, 517–529.